

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,472	12/07/2001	Sunil Chada	INGN:097US	5209
		tet.	' (a	7
Gina N. Shishima Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Suite 2400 600 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701		*	EXAMI	NER
			LI, QIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
; , ,			1632	6)
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1	10/017,472	CHADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Q. Janice Li	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 12/7/01					
	This action is non-final.					
, —	_	**************************************				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S. C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-43 are drawn to a method for inhibiting angiogenesis or endothelial cell differentiation comprising administering to a patient a nucleic acid expressing the human MDA-7 polypeptide. Classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
 - II. Claims 1-6, and 13-41 are drawn to a method comprising administering to a patient a human MDA-7 polypeptide. Classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - III. Claims 44-67 are drawn to a method for promoting an immune response comprising administering to a patient a nucleic acid expressing the human MDA-7 polypeptide and an antigen. Classified in Class 514, subclass 44, and class 424, subclass 184.1.
 - IV. Claims 44-51, and 56-67 are drawn to a method for promoting an immune response comprising administering to a patient a human MDA-7 polypeptide and an antigen.
 Classified in Class 514, subclass 2, and class 424, subclass 184.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons.

Inventions II-IV and I are independent and distinct inventions. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the groups I-IV are drawn to a different method of inhibiting angiogenesis, endothelial cell differentiation, and promoting immune response. Each of the

Art Unit: 1632

groups differs in the starting material used in the process and mode of operation as well as biological effects. For example, a nucleic acid has distinct biodistribution and pharmacokinetics compared to a polypeptide, the antigens used in groups III and IV are not used in groups I and II. Therefore, the different groups of invention require distinct technical considerations and search criteria.

The differences of the Inventions I-IV are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search criteria.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different search criteria, it would impose an undue burden to the Office if all the groups are examined together, thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: i.e. different types of diseases that need to be treated, different viral vectors used in the methods, different type of antigens for administration, and different fragments of MDA-7 polypeptides. Upon election of an invention for examination in this application, further election of a species is necessary, i.e. select a particular disease, a particular MDA-7 fragment, and if applicable, select a particular type of antigen and a particular type of vector for examination

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-67 are generic.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that where a single claim encompasses more than one invention as defined above, upon election of an invention for examination, said claim will only be examined to the extent that it reads upon the elected invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Q. Janice Li whose telephone number is 703-308-7942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah J. Reynolds can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Q. Janice Li Examiner

Art Unit 1632

QJL February 21, 2003

Page 5